

# Expected QI Macros® Results & Comparison to Minitab 17 or other Resources

# Table of Contents

Chart	Page #		
Control Charts			
Attribute Charts - c, u, p, np	2		
Variable Charts - Xmr, XbarR, XandS,	6		
XmedianR			
Histogram with Cp and Cpk	7		
Capability Suite	7		
Other Charts			
Cusum	11		
Moving Average	12		
EWMA	13		
Pareto	14		
Scatter	15		
Box and Whisker	15		
Normality Test/Descriptive Statistics	16		
ANOVA (ANalysis Of VAriation) and t-Test	17		
Appendix (Expected Differences)	18		

©MMVI KnowWare International Inc. https://, Ègimacros.com































ANOVA	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	P	Analyziz of Maniance				
	Anova: Single Factor		α. Ο.	05			_				Analysis of variance				
	5						LSD	3.072423			Source DF 1di SC 1di MS F Velue D Velue				
	SLIMMARY		-				HSD	4 122257			Source Dr Adj 55 Adj m5 r-value r-value				
	SOMMART	0 1	0		11		1130	4.122201			Factor 3 382.8 12/.59/ 19.61 0.000				
	Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance		Schene	5.191322			Error 20 130.2 6.508				
	5%	<b>b</b>	6	60 10	8		Post Hoc	5%	10%	15%	Total 23 513.0				
	10%	5	6	94 15.66667	7.866667		10%	5.666667							
	15%	5	6 1	02 17	3.2		15%	7	1.333333						
	20%	5	6 1	27 21 16667	6.966667		20%	11.16667	5.5	4,166667	Model Summary				
							Colored Ce	and have sign	micant mea	il unerences	S R-sq R-sq(adj) R-sq(pred)				
			-								2.55114 74.62% 70.82% 63.46%				
	ANOVA			Reject Null Hy	pothesis bec	cause p <	0.05 (Mear	ns are Differe	ent)						
	Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-Value	F crit								
	Between Groups	382.791	7	3 127.5972	19.60521	0.000	3.098391				Means				
	Within Groups	130,166	7	20 6 508333							neuro				
											Foster N. Moor StDeve 058 CT				
	Total	612 059	2	22											
	Total	512.900	3	23							$5 \le 6 10.00 2.63 (7.63, 12.17)$				
											10 6 $15.67$ 2.80 ( $13.49$ , $17.84$ )				
											15% 6 17.000 1.789 (14.827, 19.173)				
											20% 6 21.17 2.64 (18.99, 23.34)				
	AB														
t Test	1 Catalyst 1 Catalyst2 t-T	1 Catalyst 1 Catalyst 2 t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Equal Variances a 0.05		Two-Sample T-Test and CI: Catalyst 1, Catalyst2											
0 1	2 91.5 89.19 Ec	2 91.5 89.19 Equal Sample Sizes													
2-sample Equal	3 94.18 90.95 Catalyst 1 Catalyst 2 diff 95% Confidence Interval									Two-sample I for Catalyst 1 vs Catalyst2					
	4 92.18 90.46 Mean 92.255 92.7325 -0.477 -3.374 2.419														
	5 95.39 93.21 Vanance 5.686314 8.900993								N Mean StDev SE Mean						
17	0 71.72 51.12 OUSERVATIONS 0 0 0								Catalyst 1 8 92.26 2.39 0.84						
variances	8 94 72 91 07 Hyothesized Mean Difference 0								Catalyst2 8 92.73 2.98 1.1						
	9 89.21 92.75 df 14														
	10 t Stat -0.354														
	11 P(T<=t) one-tail 0.364 Cannot Reject Null Hypothesis because p > 0.05 (Means are the same)								Difference = µ (Catalyst 1) - µ (Catalyst2)						
	12 T (	Critical one-tai			1.761	0	December 11	and the second second	0.05 77		Estimate for difference: -0.48				
	13 P(1<=t) two-tail U.729 Cannot Reject Null Hypothesis because p > 0.05 (Means are the same)								ans are the same)	95% CI for difference: (-3.39, 2.44)					
	14 I Critical I Wo-tall 2.145								T-Test of difference = 0 (vs ≠): T-Value = -0.35 P-Value = 0.729 DF = 13						

# Appendix Expected Differences

## **Stability Analysis**

Both QI Macros and Minitab let you define what stability rules you want to use. QI Macros defaults to Montgomery's rules but lets you select from Juran, AIAG, Westgard, Healthcare (IHI) and Western Electric rules. You can also further customize rules in QI Macros. See <u>https://www.gimacros.com/control-chart/stability-analysis-control-chart-rules/</u>.

Minitab requires you to define the rules you want to use each time you run a chart. QI Macros offer additional tests that Minitab does not. Minitab also treats trends differently than QI Macros. For example, one common set of rules is 6 points in a row increasing or decreasing. Once you have 6 points in a row that meet these criteria, QI Macros will turn all 6 points red. Minitab will not turn any points red until the 7<sup>th</sup> point and then will only turn the 7<sup>th</sup> point.

**Upper and Lower control limits on u, p, and XbarS charts –** since the sample size on these charts vary, the upper and lower control limits vary (from point to point). Minitab displays the UCL and LCL for the last point. QI Macros displays the UCL and LCL for the 3<sup>rd</sup> to the last point. Note the control limit lines are the same, only the value displayed is different. Also, the center lines are both the same since these do not vary.

## **Histogram - Standard Deviation calculation**

QI Macros uses the standard deviation calculation of Microsoft Excel. Minitab uses a different calculation which returns slightly different results. The standard deviation difference will cause slight differences in the following calculations: **Pp**, **Ppk**, **Z score and Expected PPM**.

## Histogram Cp, Cpk calculation when subgroup size is 3

The difference in the two calculations is the constant used in the sigma estimator calculation. This effects Cp and Cpk but not Pp and Ppk. See formulas: <u>https://www.qimacros.com/process-capability-analysis/cp-cpk-formula/</u>

Per Juran and Montgomery, QI Macros uses a constant of 1.693 when there are 3 subgroups. It looks like Minitab is using something like 1.093.

## **Cusum and Moving Average Chart Control Limits**

Control limits are slightly different because QI Macros uses standard deviation while Minitab uses sigma estimator.